

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,017	04/03/2001	Paul G. Alchas	P-4498D1	4474
Recton Dickin	7590 04/13/2007 Ison and Company	EXAMINER		
1 Becton Drive		MENDEZ, MANUEL A		
Franklin Lakes	, NJ 07417-1880		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MC	NITUS	04/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- 4	۱
M	1

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/825,017	ALCHAS, PAUL G.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Manuel Mendez	3763				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·		action is non-final.					
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under E						
.	·						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>15,19-33,36 and 37</u> is/are pending in						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>15, 19-33, 36, and 37</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🔲 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	7					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
·							
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	·					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/825,017

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15, 19-33, 36, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eriksson and/or Palasis, et al., in view of Prausnitz, et al., Rosenberg, and Lastovich, et al., and in further view of [Hjertman, Carson et al., Kling, Son, or Riethmueller]. The Ericksson and Palasis, et al., Patents do not expressly disclose a microneedle having the range of 31 to 50 gauge, or a bevel angle between 20 and 90 degrees. However, the use of microneedles having the above-cited ranges is conventional in the art as evidenced by the Prausnitz, et al., Rosenberg, and Lastovich Patents.

The Prausnitz, et al., Patent discloses a microneedle infusion system utilizing microneedles with a 30 gauge. Moreover, Rosenberg discloses a transdermal delivery device having microneedles with 15 to 40 gauge. Finally, the Lastovich, et al., Patent discloses another infusion system having microneedles with bevel angles of 15 to 35 degrees.

Based on the teachings of Prausnitz, et al., Rosenberg, and Lastovich, et al., and the conventionality of the above enhancements, for a person of ordinary skill in the art, the modification of the infusion systems disclosed by Eriksson and Palasis, et al., with

Application/Control Number: 09/825,017

Art Unit: 3763

microneedles having the range of 31 to 50 gauge, or a bevel angles between 20 and 90 degrees, would have been considered obvious design choices.

Finally, in relation to the use of limiters surrounding needles, it is important to recognize that such limiters are conventional in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Hjertman, Carson et al., Kling, Son, and Riethmueller. These patents demonstrate that the use of limiters to surround needles and to selectively ensure depth of infusion is a concept well known in the art of needles.

Accordingly, for a person of ordinary skill in the art, modifying the apparatuses disclosed by Eriksson or Palasis, et al. with a limiter that surrounds a needle and a skin engaging surface, would have been considered obvious in view of the proven conventionality of these enhancements, and furthermore, because of the improved safety standards of the infusion needles.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/825,017

Art Unit: 3763

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manuel Mendez whose telephone number is 571-272-4962. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examine

Art Unit 3763